EXHIBIT 6

Case3:11-cv-01726-RS Document283-6 Filed01/02/13 Page2 of 8

```
Page 1
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
2
                    NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
                           SAN JOSE DIVISION
3
                              --000--
4
    ANGEL FRALEY; PAUL WANG; SUSAN
5
    MAINZER; JAMES H. DUVAL, a minor,
    by and through JAMES DUVAL, as
 6
    Guardian ad Litem; and WILLIAM
    TAIT, a minor, by and through
    RUSSELL TAIT, as guardian ad Litem;)
    individually and on behalf of all
8
    others similarly situated,
 9
                    Plaintiffs,
10
                                         )No. 11-CV-01726 LHK (PSG)
          VS.
11
     FACEBOOK, INC., a corporation; and )
12
     DOES 1-100,
                    Defendants.
13
14
          DEPOSITION OF FACEBOOK, INC., THROUGH ITS DESIGNEE
15
                                ANA YANG
16
                             October 4, 2011
17
                 HIGHLYCONFIDENTIAL
18
           PORTIONS OF THIS DEPOSITION MAY BE DESIGNATED AS
          "CONFIDENTIAL" OR "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" PURSUANT TO
19
                               COURT ORDER
20
21
     reported by:
     James Matthews, CSR 7916
22
                              TOOKER & ANTZ
23
                    COURT REPORTING & VIDEO SERVICES
                      350 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 700
24
                     SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104
                             (415) 392-0650
25
```

Case3:11-cv-01726-RS Document283-6 Filed01/02/13 Page3 of 8

	Page 140
1	You can finish your answer.
2	MR. ARNS: I feel like I'm back in fifth grade.
3	THE WITNESS: So you can use your privacy settings
4	to adjust the stories that that show up in News Feeds, and
5	potentially sponsored stories. But there is not a single
6	click global opt out if that's what you're asking.
7	MR. ARNS: Q. What does Facebook mean by opting
8	out?
9	A. Do you want me to look at the document or do you
10	want me to just answer?
11	Q. Just what is the Facebook definition of opting out?
12	Do you know?
13	A. I don't know.
14	Q. That's fine. So that's a good answer.
15	Now okay, did you know that a user can opt out
16	of Facebook ads?
17	A. I still don't understand what you mean when you
18	talk about Facebook ads. Do you mean seeing Facebook ads?
19	Q. Just opt out of yes. Opt out of having any of
20	their user content being in Facebook ads any of their
21	profile information being in Facebook ads.
22	MR. BROWN: Object to the form of the question.
23	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I that's it I feel
24	like that question's really broad.
25	MR. ARNS: Q. Vague and ambiguous?

Case3:11-cv-01726-RS Document283-6 Filed01/02/13 Page4 of 8

	Page 166
1	A. It means somebody boosted that information.
2	Q. What does BASS stand for?
3	A. I have no idea.
4	Q. Are you familiar with the term BASS being a term
5	used to describe sponsored stories?
6	MR. BROWN: Objection, asked and answered.
7	THE WITNESS: No.
8	MR. ARNS: Q. Take a five minute break?
9	(Brief recess taken.)
10	EXAMINATION BY MR. JAFFE
11	MR. JAFFE: Q. I'm going to give you Exhibit 151
12	again. This is the I'm sorry this is the SRR. It's
13	April 26th. Just a couple quick questions, follow-up
14	questions about that.
15	Is this the document that defines the terms that
16	apply to all users in the United States at the time it went
17	into effect?
18	MR. BROWN: Well, objection, vague and ambiguous as
19	to terms.
20	THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a complete agreement
21	MR. JAFFE: Q. Complete agreement. Thank you.
22	Were have in the United States, the complete agreement
23	for users in the United States?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And have users ever been able to negotiate these

Case3:11-cv-01726-RS Document283-6 Filed01/02/13 Page5 of 8

	ANA YANG - October 4, 2011
1	Page 167 terms with Facebook?
2	A. Well, as I mentioned we have a notice and comment
0.000	
3	period where the proposed documents are put up on the
4	Facebook site governance page, and users can read it and
5	comment for seven days. And then based on those
6	comments, based on if we take further action, then the
7	proposed document goes into effect.
8	Q. And that document is the same document for all
9	users even if they gave different comments, correct?
10	A. The document that ultimately goes into effect, yes.
11	Q. So no two users in the United States at the time
12	that this document has been in effect up until today will
13	have two different agreements with Facebook, correct?
14	MR. BROWN: Objection, vague and ambiguous. But
15	you can answer if you understand.
16	THE WITNESS: I believe you are correct.
17	MR. JAFFE: Okay.
18	Q. Okay, thank you very much.
19	Oh, can I have that back?
20	Now I'm going to give you Exhibit 83, which is the
21	Statement of Rights and Responsibilities as of October 4th.
22	Is this the agreement that was in effect prior to Exhibit 151
23	that we just looked at?

24 Α. Yes.

25

Q. Are you familiar with this agreement?

Case3:11-cv-01726-RS Document283-6 Filed01/02/13 Page6 of 8

	Page 168
1	A. I am.
2	Q. Do the same scratch.
3	Was this agreement the same for all users in the
4	United States as of the date it went into effect until the
5	date it was supplemented I'm sorry supplanted by
6	Exhibit 151?
7	A. So there's two parts to that question. It was in
8	effect for all users. There may have been another version.
9	Between this and the April 26th one.
10	Q. I'm sorry what's the date on that?
11	A. October 4th. 2010.
12	Q. April 26th being Exhibit 151.
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. I'm not aware of that, and I apologize if I'm not.
15	For the purposes of this question I'd like you to assume that
16	this is the document that immediately preceded Exhibit 151.
17	A. Okay.
18	Q. In terms of the agreement with users. Were
19	individual users able to negotiate different agreements with
20	Facebook than that agreement while that agreement, Exhibit
21	83, was in effect?
22	MR. BROWN: Object to the form of the question.
23	THE WITNESS: Well, when this agreement was in
24	effect prior to the adoption of the subsequent agreement that
25	superseded this there was the seven day notice and comment

Case3:11-cv-01726-RS Document283-6 Filed01/02/13 Page7 of 8

ANA YANG - October 4, 2011

	,
1	Page 169 period where users were commenting on the new agreement. But
2	this one was still in effect.
3	MR. JAFFE: Q. So while Exhibit 83 was in effect
4	there was no other agreement with any other user in the
5	United States that would bind that user differently than
6	Exhibit 83? In other words no more than one agreement
	_
7	existed at a time? For any for all users in the United
8	States?
9	A. Correct. The October 4th agreement was the
10	complete agreement for users that was in effect for users in
11	the U.S. Yes.
12	Q. Okay, thank you. May I have that back?
13	I'm going to give you Exhibit 139. Which is
14	essentially the same I think as 81, but I could be wrong.
15	Can you tell me what this document is?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. I was mistaken. It's actually a privacy policy not
18	the SRR.
19	Let me scratch that. May I have that back?
20	A. Sure.
21	Q. Thank you. Let me ask you a question about minors.
22	Minors who are users of Facebook in the United States. Have
23	the parents or the legal guardians of minors been required to
21	give their consent for the use of their wards meaning

their minors -- their children -- they take care of -- to

25

Case3:11-cv-01726-RS Document283-6 Filed01/02/13 Page8 of 8

1	Page 227 CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSITION OFFICERFEDERAL COURT
2	
3	I, JAMES MATTHEWS, CSR No. 7916, duly authorized to
4	administer oaths, hereby certify that at the commencement of
5	the foregoing deposition the witness stated under penalty of
6	perjury that he or she would testify the truth, the whole
7	truth, and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled
8	cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place
9	therein stated; that the testimony of said witness was
10	reported by me and thereafter transcribed by me or under my
11	direction into typewriting by computer; that the foregoing is
12	a full, complete, and true record of said testimony; and that
13	the deponent or a party requested review of the deposition
14	prior to completion of the deposition; and that the deponent
15	was given an opportunity to review the deposition.
16	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not of counsel nor attorney
17	for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition
18	and caption named, or in any way interested in the outcome of
19	the cause named in said caption.
20	DEPOSITION OFFICER
21	DEFOSITION OFFICER
22	I hereby certify this copy is a
23	true and exact copy of the original
24	
25	DEPOSITION OFFICER